Amy Baggio, OSB #01192 amy@baggiolaw.com Baggio Law 621 SW Morrison, Suite 1025 Portland, OR 97205

Tel: (503) 222-9830 Fax: (503) 274-8575

John S. Ransom, OSB #742655 john@ransomblackman.com Ransom Blackman LLP 1001 SW 5th Ave Ste 1400 Portland OR 97204

Tel: (503) 228-0487 Fax: (503) 227-5984

Attorneys for Defendant

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

PORTLAND DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No. 3:12-cr-659-MO

Plaintiff, MOTION FOR DISCLOSURE OF

MONITORING OF PRIVILEGED

COMMUNICATIONS, MINIMIZATION

PROCEDURES & FILTER TEAM

REAZ QADIR KHAN, PROTOCOL

v.

Defendant. ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED

The defendant, Reaz Qadir Khan, through counsel, hereby moves this Court for an Order requiring disclosure of:

Page 1 MOTION FOR DISCLOSURE OF MONITORING OF PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATIONS, MINIMIZATION PROCEDURES & FILTER TEAM PROTOCOL

(1) the existence of past or present government recording/monitoring of any communications

that are privileged as either attorney-client communications or attorney work product

communications;

(2) minimization procedures used during the course of the government's multi-year

investigation of Mr. Khan; and

(3) the existence of a filter team, and of any filter team protocols, that have been used or are

currently being utilized by the government in this case.

Further, if the government is monitoring potentially privileged oral or written

communications without utilization of a Court-approved Filter Team protocol, the defense moves

for implementation of a Filter Team procedure to protect from disclosure of privileged information.¹

As examined in the accompanying Memorandum, these requests are based on Mr. Khan's

rights to privacy, to counsel, to a fair trial, to present a defense, and to fundamental due process.

U.S. Const. Am. I, IV, V, VI, IX.

Respectfully submitted on April 28, 2014.

/s/ Amy Baggio

Amy Baggio

Attorney for Defendant

¹The defense reserves its right to object to improper dissemination of privileged defense strategy information already committed by the government. *United States v. Danielson*, 325 F.3d 1054, 1067-74 (9th Cir. 2003) (unlawful intrusion into attorney client relationship may warrant dismissal under the Sixth Amendment if the prosecution team learns of information concerning defense trial strategy).